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1 Introduction

Digital piracy is threatening the global multimedia content industry (a 16% decline of the music market revenues since 1999 (IFPI, 2003)) while forecast institutes agree on the unprecedented potential of the mobile audio and video market. Existing Digital Right Management (DRM) models fail however in solving this paradox because they badly adapt to several new attractive usage scenarios and because consumers are reluctant to use them for privacy preservation and fairness concerns. Indeed, exasperating coercive methods do nothing but legitimizing consumers trying to access digital assets illegally (Champeau, 2004). This paper presents a new software and hardware infrastructure aiming at reconciling the content providers’ and consumers’ point of views by giving the ability to develop fair business models (i.e., that preserve the interest of both parties).

The solution proposed in this paper capitalizes on the democratization of powerful smart card platforms, which provide an effective element of trust on various client devices (e.g., PC, cell phones, consumer electronics). Initially developed by Bull to secure the French banking system, smart cards are used today successfully around the world in several applications (such as banking, pay-TV, GSM subscriber identification, loyalty, healthcare, insurance, etc.). Smart cards actually reach the highest level of tamper-resistance (EAL-7 security level of common criteria (CommonCriteria, 2005)) and are equipped today with significant computing and storage resources (32 bit CPU, mega-bytes of stable storage) (InspireD, 2006). Hence, these platforms are powerful...
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enough to store securely sensitive data (e.g., personal consumer data or data expressing
the terms of a B2C contract) and to perform the computation required by a contract
activation. In other words, smart cards can be seen as tamper-resistant Service Level
Agreement (SLA) enablers.

The proposed infrastructure, named MobiDiQ (Mobile Digital Quietude), is an XML-
based tamper-resistant right management engine embedded in a smart card. It enforces
access control rules (i.e., licenses/contracts) depending both on the digital content
accessed on the device (music, video, photos, games, etc.) and on personal data
(historical records, user profile, etc.) stored securely on the smart card. Access control
rules based on personal data paves the way for new attractive business models (e.g., adapt
the fee to the exact behavior of each user). The MobiDiQ access right engine is
embedded in the smart card to prevent any tampering to occur, thereby giving strong anti-
piracy guarantees to the content provider. Embedding personal data in the smart card
brings also strong guarantees about user’s privacy preservation. In addition, embedding a
versatile and powerful access control manager in a smart card gives the opportunity to
develop fairer business models. For instance, commercial conditions can be negotiated –
then enforced by MobiDiQ – between institutions and content providers to help some
categories of citizen (e.g., students) to access valuable contents at a special rate. Parental
control rules can also be set up to protect children against dangerous contents but also
against a prohibitive use of legal commercial contents. Privacy preserving gifting and
loaning scenarios can be supported as well by MobiDiQ. The MobiDiQ expectation is
therefore to become the mandatory glue between every actors’ interest to implement
ethical business models.

From a technical point of view, MobiDiQ provides a unique XML-based framework to
describe the metadata attached to the protected content, the context of use, the user’s
profile and to express access control rules mixing them. More precisely, the in-card
MobiDiQ engine evaluates DRM access control rules expressed in XPath, the W3C
standard language (W3C, 1999), on standard XML descriptions. The support of XML
metadata makes MobiDiQ agnostic about the type of multimedia content to be protected
and the support of XPath access control rules makes MobiDiQ agnostic about the DRM
model to be used at the application level. The latter point is of utmost importance
considering the diversity and absence of interoperability of existing DRM languages and
models (e.g., XrML, MPEG-REL, ODRL, XACML, XMCL). Roughly speaking, the
MobiDiQ engine can be seen as a DRM virtual machine with XPath access control rules
as bytecode. The uniformity of the approach (XML everywhere) greatly simplifies the
implementation of the MobiDiQ engine, making it compliant with the current smart card
resources in terms of footprint and performance. A prototype of MobiDiQ has been
developed on a SIM card platform (cell phone smart card) and has been the recipient of
the Gold Award of the SIMagine’2005 international software contest (more than 300
participating teams) (Bouganim et al., 2005).
Thus, the contribution of this paper is twofold. First, it introduces a definition of Fair Digital Right Management (fair DRM for short) and illustrates it through practical examples. Second, it proposes a tamper-resistant implementation of Fair DRM by embedding an XML access right engine in a smart card.

The sequel of this document is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the concept of fair use, explains why commercial DRM attempts failed so far and motivates the importance of fairness to make DRM models acceptable by consumers. Section 3 gives a global picture of the fair DRM scenarios MobiDiQ affords. Section 4 introduces the concept of DRM virtual machine and shows how existing DRM languages can be implemented on top of it. Section 5 presents technical challenges related to the management of XML access rights in a smart card. Section 6 discusses security and performance issues and reports on a preliminary experience with MobiDiQ. Finally, Section 7 concludes.

2 Reconciling fair use and DRM

2.1 The case for Fair DRM

Codified in the 1976 U.S. Copyright Law and frequently used by scholars, journalists, and librarians, the fair use provision permits the limited use of copyrighted scientific and artistic material to supplement or briefly illustrate oral or written commentary, literary or artistic criticism, or teaching materials, without permission from the copyright holder. Fair use is necessary to achieve the constitutional purpose of copyright -- to advance knowledge and promote learning. In determining that a use is fair, four factors must be considered: (1) the purpose and character of the use (whether it is commercial or nonprofit); (2) the nature of the copyrighted material; (3) the amount of the total work used; and (4) the effect of the use upon the potential market (U.S. Department of State, 2006).

To most Europeans, the term "fair use" refers unambiguously to consumer expectations and is not, as in the US, a legal term of art. In this document, the term fair use will be used with a broader meaning, namely a set of good practices participating in the definition of an efficient, competitive and ethical industry of content distribution. In other words, fair DRM should be the mean by which the interest of each party is preserved:

- User’s point of view: as consumers, users are highly concerned about the preservation of the fair use principle as defined in the US Copyright Law. In addition, consumers are expecting to pay for the exact content they are interested in rather than for complete commercial packages. As citizens, users are also concerned about the preservation of privacy (services they use, videos they watch, etc). To illustrate this, a report from IBM-Harris states that the suspicion on the way personal data are exploited by
providers is the major obstacle to the development of new applications on the Internet (Westin, 1999). As parent, users are more and more concerned about the type of content their children access and the usage they are doing of these contents (W3C, 2006). Finally, as members of different communities (family, friends, colleagues, clubs), users are expecting a reasonable way to exchange (loaning, gifting) digital assets.

- Content providers’ and distributors’ point of view: new generation Peer-to-Peer (P2P) technologies accelerate the unrestrained dissemination of content through online networks, regardless of on-going litigation. The impact of worldwide digital piracy for the music industry (loses estimated to $5 billion every year by the RIAA) and the movie industry (loses estimated to $3 billion every year by the MPAA) rose up to a dramatic level. Even, according to IFPI, the sales through the music market declined from 38.5B$ in 1999 to less than 30B$ in 2003 (IFPI, 2003). In 2003, 1 Billion movies and 150 Billions music titles were exchanged over the Internet (source Idate). In the absence of a compelling legitimate offer, piracy is becoming the default setting for IP commerce (Tual, 2004). Fair DRM is nothing but another word for compelling legitimate offer.

2.2 Fair use and DRM, the impossible marriage

As stated earlier, digital piracy is threatening the global multimedia content industry in the short-term and the production of any cultural assets (even non-lucrative) in the mid-term. To face this situation, the major players in the multimedia sector get organize and put pressure on their respective government to edict more coercive laws, like the Digital Millennium Copyright Act’s (DMCA, 1998) in the US and the European Union Copyright Directive (EUCD, 2001) in EU.

However, consumer associations and foundations, both in the US and EU, rise up against these laws and the related DRM models imposed by majors. According to (Electronic Frontier Foundation, 2004), the DMCA anti-circumvention provisions have been used in practice to stifle a wide array of legitimate activities, rather than to stop copyright piracy. DMCA is today accused of chilling free expression and scientific research, jeopardizing Fair Use, impeding competition and innovation.

Some major distributors tried to integrate fair use practices in their online music service (DRM Watch Staff, 2004). For example, FnacMusic gives its consumers the opportunity to buy either complete albums or single tracks at low charge, raising the bar on consumer friendliness by allowing users to burn each downloaded track up to 7 times and transfer it to other devices up to 5 times. This reminds the war between Microsoft and Adobe over the number of permitted eBook "activations". Music market analysts published a critical analysis of the FnacMusic DRM scheme, arguing that it is both insecure and too coercive, then predicting a promising future to P2P piracy (Champeau, 2004).
2.3 Fair use and DRM, the craved marriage

From the previous discussion, it appears that basic DRM (and simple variants as exemplified by FnacMusic, Adobe or DVD region settings) turns against its initial objective. Indeed, exasperating coercive methods do nothing but legitimizing consumers trying to access multimedia contents illegally.

A fair DRM scheme is therefore highly required with the vital objective to reconcile the consumers, content providers and distributors interests. A fair DRM scheme must be capable of expressing complex business rules and taking into account contextual information (e.g., user profile, historical data), as a prerequisite to implement attractive business models while preserving fair use. In the light of the discussion held in Section 2.1, a fair DRM should therefore exhibit the following properties:

- User friendliness: The consumer should have the opportunity to select the part of a multimedia content it is interested in (e.g., a chapter, a music track, a video sequence) and to pay only for that part. Once the content has been legally acquired, the consumer should not be constrained on its daily life usage. This means that this content can be made available on any device owned by the consumer, without requiring any complex manipulation (no uninstall from device#1 to reinstall on device#2). Moreover, the consumer may have the opportunity to freely share a legally acquired content with members of the direct family circle. Loaning and gifting must also be possible among small communities of friends, colleagues, etc. On the other hand, these practices must strictly prohibit any illegal mass sharing (e.g., P2P sharing).

- Fair superdistribution: Some categories of citizen (e.g., students, needy persons, artists) may have the opportunity to access valuable content at a special rate, assuming commercial agreements took place between institutions (government, universities, associations) and content providers. Again, illegal mass sharing must be strictly prohibited.

- Ethic enforcement: the consumer may have the opportunity to control the contents and the usage of these contents made by her children.

- Privacy: the privacy attached to all the aforementioned practices must be strictly preserved.

The objective of MobiDiQ is precisely to enable such fair DRM thanks to the secure smart card platform. The smart card will serve both as a tamper resistant and private store for contextual information and as a secure operating environment (SOE) to evaluate and enforce complex business rule based on these contextual data.

3 Fair DRM scenarios

In this section, we first describe the overall infrastructure enabling fair DRM scheme. We then show three DRM scenarios exemplifying how MobiDiQ meets the user friendliness, fair superdistribution and ethic enforcement objectives. This section is illustrative and some details are deliberately omitted for the sake of clarity.
3.1 MobiDiQ Infrastructure

Figure 1 depicts the MobiDiQ infrastructure. Each user has a multimedia cell phone including a MobiDiQ enabled SIM card. SIM cards are used here as a case study but similar scenarios can be envision on any client devices equipped with a smart card or an USB smart token. The SIM card embeds the MobiDiQ access controller and the user’s profile (detailed in the next subsections). Basically, the users acquire encrypted multimedia content and encrypted licenses from content providers. The licenses are decrypted by the SIM card and checked by the MobiDiQ DRM engine, taking into account the metadata describing the multimedia content, the user’s profile and potential historical data (all expressed in XML). Depending on the outcome of the license verification, MobiDiQ may allow “playing” (part of) the multimedia content. To this end, the decryption key(s) required to decrypt the multimedia content is extracted from the metadata.

The Shared License Repository (SLR) is a cornerstone of the MobiDiQ infrastructure. It ensures four fundamental properties for the user’s licenses, the profile information and the historical data:

- **Availability**: the SLR enforces the persistency of the aforementioned data and makes them accessible from any user’s device (under strict access control policies).

![MobiDiQ Fair DRM scenarios](image-url)
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- **Resiliency**: as a regular database system, the SLR guarantees that the aforementioned data can be recovered in case of failure. This property is required to cope with situations like smart card failure, loss or theft of the device the smart card is embedded in (e.g., cell phone).

- **Sharing**: the SLR is a central repository by which licenses can be exchanged among a community of users.

- **Privacy and integrity**: the information stored in the SLR is kept encrypted and signed to guarantee its confidentiality and integrity.

The SLR may be hosted by any server and may be, for instance, included in a telecom operator offer as a (charged or free) service.

### 3.2 User Friendliness scenarios

**Private licenses**: Let assume that John Doe wants to listen a given song on his cell phone. A classical way to ensure that John can acquire a private license is to encrypt it with John’s SIM card public key (assuming a PKI infrastructure is used). Therefore, the unique way to play the song is to decrypt the license with the corresponding private key, owned and protected by John’s SIM card. While this solution is satisfactory for private licenses used on a single device, it must be extended to enable multi-device usage (e.g., cell phone, mp3 player, etc).

**Multi-device usage**: to handle that case, MobiDiQ allows creating a group of devices identified by a GroupPrivateKey, and acquiring licenses encrypted with the corresponding GroupPublicKey. The maximum number of devices belonging to the group, say NbMaxDevice, must be limited to avoid illegal mass sharing. This point will be discussed further later on. Let us come back to the initial scenario, assuming now that John uses a cell phone and a PDA both including a MobiDiQ enabled SIM card. Thanks to a simple GUI, John asks his phone SIM card to create the group JohnGroup. MobiDiQ then adds two fields to John’s profile: (1) the Group.JohnGroupPrivateKey (obtained through a PKI infrastructure); (2) the Group.JohnGroupCount recording the current number of devices in the group. Adding the PDA to John’s group is possible if the condition (Group.JohnGroupCount < NbMaxDevice) holds. In that case the Group.JohnGroupPrivateKey is transmitted from the phone card to the PDA card (by encrypting it with the PDA card public key) and stored in the PDA card. In addition, Group.JohnGroupCount is incremented.

**Familial usage**: The group mechanism explained above can be used as well to allow

---

1 All these devices do not necessarily hold SIM cards. However, MobiDiQ requires a secure operating environment (SOE) in each device to function properly. SOE preferably rely on tamper-resistant hardware solutions (e.g., smart card, secure dongle) but alternative – less secure – software solutions can be envisioned (Bouganim et al., 2004).
media sharing between family members. To prevent illegal mass sharing, it is however important to restrict the number of groups a device can belong to. There are two conflicting philosophies here. Existing DRM models do not integrate the group concept, which is nothing but allowing a device to belong to an infinite number of groups. As a side effect, these models drastically reduce the number of permitted transfers of a digital content to any devices (e.g., 5 with FnacMusic) strongly hurting user friendliness. The MobiDiQ philosophy is fairly different. Each device can join a rather reduced number of groups, typically a single one corresponding to the direct family circle (parents and their children). Consequently, the \( NbMaxDevice \) limit can be set to a much larger value (e.g., 10 to 15) to encompass all the family’s devices and the number of transfers can be unlimited. Thus, user friendliness and anti-piracy concerns can be met altogether.

**License Loaning:** Bounding a licence to a single group of devices can be considered too coercive by many users willing to loan a digital content to people outside the direct family circle (e.g., grand-parents, friends, etc), as it is possible today with classical media (e.g., music CD). MobiDiQ affords loaning in such a way that the loaning action can remain free of charge (user friendliness) while preventing mass piracy. Let’s assume that Lucie wants to loan for a week a given license to her friend Julie. MobiDiQ handles this case in three steps. First, a specific record is added to Lucie’s profile (on her SIM card and on the SLR) to disable a personal use of that license for one week. Second, the license is downloaded on Lucie’s SIM card, decrypted, updated to include a one week validity limit, re-encrypted using Julie’s public key, and finally uploaded on the SLR. Julie can now retrieve her private license and listen freely the music during one week.

### 3.3 Superdistribution scenarios

Let us now assume that John is a teacher and, as such, may benefit from fee reductions to licences associated with academic contents under an agreement concluded between content distributors and the Ministry of education. John’s profile is enriched with the “Teacher” tag authenticated thanks to a cryptographic signature from the Ministry of education. In addition, the Ministry of education delivers to John a specific teacher license that will be required to validate the reduced fee licenses purchased by John. This second license includes an additional condition checking that John is actually a teacher employed by the Ministry of education (i.e., checking that the – signed – “Teacher” tag is present in John’s profile).

The same process may occur with Jim who is student at the University of Versailles and benefits from preferential access to some contents (negotiated by the University of

---

1. Models allowing more than one group could be envision to handle specific situations.
2. Lucie cannot erase this record from the SLR thanks to cryptographic integrity checking and she cannot remove it from her SIM profile thanks to the tamper-resistance of the card.
Versailles). The university may enrich Jim’s profile with specific information (student status, master degree, education domain, etc) that is used to personalize the licences delivered by the university.

### 3.4 Ethic enforcement

Finally, MobiDiQ affords to protect children against dangerous contents and also against a prohibitive use of legal commercial contents. Indeed, parental control rules can be defined and complement regular licenses following the same principles as above.

To enable the parental control on Lucie’s cell phone, John (Lucie’s father) enters a special PIN code allowing him to define specific fields in Lucie’s profile. These fields can be, for instance, Lucie’s birth date (to enforce controls on age limit), some threshold values for violent or sexual scenes in videos, and more generally any kind of information required by John to set up the desired parental control. Then, John selects access control rules that can be based on the media metadata (e.g., video scenes description), on contextual data (hours of the day, localization) and on historical data (e.g., number of movies or games played on the cell phone) thus allowing powerful and personalized parental control. Parental control looks like a regular licence, the rules of which takes precedence (i.e., have a higher priority) on any other rules.

Note that ethic enforcement can also be of interest in the fair superdistribution context (e.g., an association or club negotiates preferential access to some resources for all its members but has strict obligations wrt its under-18s members or any other category of members).

### 4 A DRM virtual machine

#### 4.1 About the DRM standards

Several initiatives (e.g., XrML (XrML, 2006), MPEG-REL (ContentGuard, 2004), ODRL (ODRL, 2006), XACML (XACML, 2006), XMCL (XMCL, 2001)) demonstrate the need for expressive and extensible DRM languages capable of implementing a large variety of business models. Some of these initiatives are gaining a wide acceptance. For example, XrML from ContentGuard is used by Microsoft in its DRM implementations. XrML also formed the basis for MPEG REL, the Rights Expression Language of MPEG-21. The Open Digital Rights Language has been adopted by the Open Mobile Alliance.

---

1 Parental control fields are signed using the parental control private key to ensure their authenticity.
2 e.g., to prevent from watching videos during teaching classes or in the school area.
(OMA, 2006) for its DRM standard. While these DRM languages have not been designed with fairness concerns in mind, their expressive power makes them more adapted to express fair DRM scenarios. Unfortunately, this disparate offer plays against DRM models interoperability and these standards are too complex to be implemented in smart cards.

4.2 The DRM virtual machine approach

While different in their syntax and usages, the DRM languages mentioned above share strong commonalities. To illustrate this, let us consider XrML as a reference language. The constituents of an XrML grant (the central part of an XrML license) are:

− **The principal** to whom the grant is issued.
− **The right** that the grant specifies.
− **The resource** that is the direct object of the “right” verb.
− **The condition** that specifies the terms, conditions and obligations under which the right can be exercised.

Principal, right and resource are respectively named *party*, *right* and *asset* in ODRL and *subject*, *action* and *resource* in XACML with a similar meaning. ODRL integrates conditions within the right statement while XACML distinguishes between conditions and obligations. XACML supports also denials (i.e., negative authorizations) in addition to grants. The way a right is actually exercised is implementation dependent and may differ depending on the DRM infrastructure, on the application and on the type of content to be protected.

By providing a unique XML-based framework (1) to describe the metadata attached to the protected content, the context of use and the user’s profile and (2) to express access control rules mixing them, MobiDiQ is a unifying technology. Indeed, the MobiDiQ engine evaluates DRM access control rules expressed in the W3C standard language XPath (W3C, 1999) on XML descriptions. Thus, the DRM languages mentioned above can be easily supported by translating native expressions into XPath, and any kind of content described in regular XML can be protected. This fundamental feature will be exemplified in the next section.

Roughly speaking, the MobiDiQ engine can be seen as a DRM virtual machine with XPath access control rules as bytecode. To help understand how this DRM virtual machine works, we introduce below a minimal background on XML and XPath and we introduce the XML access control implemented by MobiDiQ.

**XML Background**

XML, the Extensible Markup Language promoted by the W3C, has become a de-facto standard for the presentation, exchange and management of information. Figure 2
pictures two samples of XML metadata describing (1) a MPEG-21 video\(^1\) and (2) a user profile in their textual form. Roughly speaking, an XML document can be seen as a tree of elements (e.g., Seq), each one demarcated by an opening and closing tag (e.g., <Seq> and </Seq>). Attributes (e.g., value) may be attached to elements. Terminal elements (at the leaves of the tree) are represented by text (e.g., Closer).

**XPath Background**

Queries can be expressed over an XML document using the XPath language. An XPath expression allows to navigate in the document through the parent axis (denoted by /) and the descendant axis (denoted by //) and to apply predicates on elements and attributes. The result of an XPath expression is an element (or a group of elements) along with its (their) subtree(s). To illustrate the power and simplicity of XPath, let us consider the two following expressions: 

\[
/Video/Film/Seq/Key
\]

selects all the decryption keys of the sequences of the film while

\[
//Seq[SexRating>3]
\]

selects any sequence (anywhere in the document) having a direct child SexRating whose value is greater than 3.

**MobiDiQ Access Control Model**

Several authorization models have been recently proposed for regulating access to XML documents. The MobiDiQ access control model is inspired by (Bertino et al., 2001, Damiani et al. 2002, Gabillon and Bruno, 2001). MobiDiQ access control model keeps the foundation and the expressive power of these models while discarding subtleties which could compromise a smart card implementation of the model.

In our model, access control rules take the form of a 4-uple $<$subject $[$condition$]$, action, sign, resource $[$condition$]$$. Subject identifies the user(s) the rule applies to. It takes the form of an XPath expression allowing selecting a group of subjects satisfying given conditions expressed on their profile. Action is self-explanatory. Sign denotes either a permission (positive rule) or a prohibition (negative rule). Resource corresponds to elements or subtrees in an XML document, identified by an XPath expression. We consider here a rather robust subset of XPath denoted by XP{[],*,}// (Miklau and Suciu, 2002). This subset, widely used in practice, consists of node tests, the child axis (/), the descendant axis (//), wildcards (*) and predicates or branches […]. For example, a rule of the form

\[
/Subject/Profile[age<16], play, \Theta /Video//Seq[SexRating > 3]
\]

states that teenagers are prohibited (negative rule) to play any video sequences having a sex rating higher than 3.

The cascading propagation of rules is implicit in our model, meaning that a rule propagates from an XML element to all its descendants in the XML hierarchy. Due to this propagation mechanism and to the multiplicity of rules for a same user, a conflict resolution principle is required. Conflicts are resolved using two policies: 1) Denial-Takes-Precedence, which states that if two rules of opposite signs apply to the same

---

\(^1\) The metadata have been simplified for space considerations.
element, then the negative one prevails and 2) Most-Specific-Object-Takes-Precedence, which states that a rule which applies directly to an element takes precedence over a propagated rule.

4.3 Translation of existing DRM languages

Let us now see how the aforementioned DRM languages can be translated in the MobiDiQ bytecode. While MobiDiQ contains advanced features that cannot be expressed in existing DRM languages, ensuring a backward compatibility is an important concern. We illustrate below the flavor of the translation considering XrML as the target DRM language.

The translation takes place as follows:

− **Principal:** while a principal must be resolved to a single party (e.g., represented by a private key in a PKI infrastructure) during the interpretation of the right expression, it can actually represent a group of persons (e.g., a member of an institution). In MobiDiQ, the principal (i.e., subject) is expressed as an XPath expression applied to the user’s profile embedded in the smart card. This approach has two main advantages. First, it gives a very simple and powerful way to define principals (e.g., teenagers, master students of University U). Second, the smart card guarantees both the privacy of this profile information and its tamper resistance (the card holder could try to tamper her profile to get larger rights).

− **Right:** the right is the permitted action on the resource (e.g., play, print, copy, loan, etc). In MobiDiQ the right (i.e., action) is executed for each XML element qualified by the XPath expression on the resource.

− **Resource:** the resource is the digital asset to be protected. In MobiDiQ, the resource is once more represented by XPath expressions applied to the XML metadata describing the resource. The expressiveness of the XPath language associated with the capability to declare multiple positive and negative rules (see below) allow to define a resource as a set of digital assets (e.g., all movies of category U) or as sub-part(s) of a digital asset (e.g., movie M except the violent scenes) at a very fine granularity level. This fine granularity level participates in the satisfaction of the user friendliness by giving the ability to define very precisely the part of a multimedia content the consumer is ready to pay for.

− **Condition:** conditions can range from simple ones (e.g., a time interval) to rather complex one (e.g., based on historical data). In MobiDiQ, every element that participates in a condition is integrated in the user’s profile embedded in the smart card. Thus, conditions can be expressed in the Subject field using again XPath expressions applied to profile data. It is worth-noting that the privacy preservation and tamper resistance provided by the smart card to these data are of utmost interest.
4.4 Running example

Figure 2 shows a sample of the XML metadata attached to a given video, the user’s profile and the access control rules expressing the licenses downloaded by the user. It illustrates the scenario presented in Section 3.3. The video is divided into several tracks (film, bonus, analysis), each one subdivided in sequences that include descriptions, values indicated the rating in terms of violence, sex content, decryption keys, etc. The user’s profile is also stored as an XML file with a very simple structure.

```
<Video>
  <Title> Closer </Title>
  <Film>
    < Seq>
      <Desc> ...... </Desc>
      <SexRating> 3 </SexRating>
      <Key> xxxxxxxxxx </Key>
    </Seq>
    < Seq>.....</Seq>
  </Film>
  <Bonus>
    < Seq>.....</Seq>
  </Bonus>
  <Analysis>
    < Seq>.....</Seq>
  </Analysis>
</Video>

Video XML Metadata

<Profile>
  <SIM_PrivateKey> xdxdxd </SIM_PrivateKey>
  <UV_Student> xabc </UV_Student>
  <UV_Master> shqdq </UV_Master>
  <Group value = "John"> JohnGrpPrivateKey </Group>
  ....
</Profile>

Profile XML Data

Video License:
  Require University Versailles License
  Rule R1: < UV_Member, play, θ, /Video/Film>
  Rule R2: < UV_Member, play, θ, /Video/Bonus>
  Rule R3: < UV_Member, play, θ, /Video/Analysis>

University Versailles License
  Rule R4: < [not /Profile/UVStudent], play, θ, /Video/Bonus>
  Rule R5: < [not /Profile/UVMaster], play, θ, /Video/Analysis>
  Rule R6: < ALL, play, θ, //Seq[SexRating > 3]>

Licenses

Figure 2: XML metadata, profile and licenses
In this example, MobiDiQ has to deal with two licenses. The first one is issued by the content provider and states that any member of the University of Versailles may have the right to play the Film (R1), Bonus (R2) and Analysis (R3) track of the video. The second license, delivered by the University of Versailles adds some restriction to the previous one, specifying that the Bonus track is restricted to students (R4) while the Analysis track is restricted to Master students (R5). Finally, the last rule expresses that any sequence rated with a degree greater than 3 for sex content should not be played. The Require statement stipulates that the second license is mandatory to enable the first one. Note that a required license always restricts the possibilities of the user (Rules R4, R5 and R6 have a negative sign). Indeed, the university cannot grant more right than the one delivered by the content provider itself.

5 Technical challenges

5.1 Smart card architecture

Smart card is today the most widespread representative of secure operating environment. Existing smart cards typically embed on a single chip, a 32 bit RISC processor (cadenced at about 50 MHz), memory modules composed of ROM (about a hundred KB), static RAM (some KB) and electronic stable storage (hundreds of KB of EEPROM or FLASH), and physical security modules. The limited amount of on-chip computing and storage resources is primarily due to security reasons, the smaller the silicon die, the most difficult and costly the tampering attacks are. The ROM is used to store the operating system. The RAM is used as working memory (heap and stack). Electronic stable storage is used to store persistent data and downloaded programs. Figure 3 pictures this typical hardware architecture.

![Typical smart card architecture](image)

The smart card internal resource balance is very unique. The processing power, calibrated to sustain cryptographic computations and enforce security properties, is oversized wrt the other resources. Conversely, only a little amount of RAM remains available for the
embedded applications, the major part of the RAM being preempted by the operating system. Finally, electronic stable memories generally exhibit very good read performance (e.g., 60-100 ns/word in EEPROM) but suffers from dramatically slow write time (e.g., about 10 ms per word in EEPROM).

The challenge is then to design a MobiDiQ engine which can accommodate this particular resource balance while providing acceptable performance for the targeted applications.

5.2 MobiDiQ engine

The core of the MobiDiQ engine is the XPath access right controller embedded in the smart card. While several access control models for XML have been proposed recently, few papers address the enforcement of these models. Existing solutions rely on a memory materialization of the input XML document to be protected (Bertino et al., 2000, Damiani et al, 2002, Gabillon and Bruno, 2001). Roughly speaking, these algorithms work as follows. First, a building phase parses the input XML document and builds a DOM representation. Second, a tree labeling phase evaluates the access control rules related to a given subject and tags any node targeted by the corresponding XPath expression. Third, a conflict resolution phase resolves potential conflicts among rules targeting the same node and propagates the final decision about the outcome of each node to its subtree. Finally, the pruning phase discards every node annotated negatively.

Considering the smart card constraints in terms of RAM size and write cost in stable memory, building a materialization of the XML document is precluded. Thus, we designed a streaming XML access right controller. To the best of our knowledge, this work was the first to consider a streaming management of access control policies (Bouganim et al., 2004).

At first glance, streaming access control resembles the well-known problem of XPath processing on streaming documents. There is a large body of work on this latter problem in the context of XML filtering (Diao and Franklin, 2003, Green et al., 2003, Chan et al., 2002). These studies consider a very large number of XPath expressions (typically tens of thousands). The primary goal here is to select the subset of queries matching a given document (the query result is not a concern) and the focus is on indexing and/or combining a large amount of queries. One of the first works addressing the precise evaluation of complex XPath expressions over streaming documents is due to (Peng and Chawathe, 2003) which proposes a solution to deliver parts of a document matching a single XPath. While access rules are expressed in XPath, the nature of our problem differs significantly from the preceding ones. Indeed, the rule propagation principle along with its associated conflict resolution policies (see Section 4.2) makes access rules not independent. The interference between rules introduces two new important issues: 1) at parsing time the evaluator must be capable of determining the set of rules targeting a
given node and deciding which one apply according to the conflict resolution policies; and 2) some rules may be inhibited by others according to the conflict resolution policies, thereby optimizations such as suspending evaluations of rules can be devised.

Our streaming access right controller works as follows. The controller is fed by an event-based parser (SAX, 2004) raising open, value and close events respectively for each opening, text and closing tag encountered in the input document. Each XPath expression participating in an access control rule definition (either in the subject or resource statement) is represented by a non-deterministic finite automaton (Hopcroft and Ullman, 1979), named Access Rule Automaton (ARA for short). An ARA is made of states connected by transitions. Tokens traverse the ARA while transitions are triggered, at document parsing time. An ARA has one target final state (representing the element targeted by the XPath expression) and may have zero, one or more predicate final states (one for each predicate involved in the XPath expression). When all final states of an ARA have been reached by a token, the corresponding XPath expression becomes active, meaning that it qualifies all forthcoming elements. The outcome of the current resource element is actually determined thanks to a conflict resolution algorithm managing the priorities among all active rules (i.e., access control rules having their XPath expressions active).

As a conclusion, the core of the MobiDiQ engine (i.e., the DRM virtual machine) is made of one XPath evaluator algorithm managing the non-deterministic finite automata and one conflict resolution algorithm. These two algorithms are simple and compact enough to be embedded in existing smart cards. The details of the algorithms can be found in (Bouganim et al., 2004).

5.3 MobiDiQ architecture

Figure 4 illustrates the components participating in MobiDiQ, their interactions and their location (smart card, untrusted terminal and SLR) depending of the security requirement attached to each of them. The user’s profile and the access control engine have to be

**Figure 4: MobiDiQ Architecture**
embedded in the smart card to benefit from its tamper-resistance while the remaining part of the architecture can run on an untrusted environment. The figure shows a particular implementation of MobiDiQ in a smart phone context (i.e., a cell phone equipped with a smart card, typically a SIM card). If the location of the components may depend on the targeted platform (for tamper-resistance concerns), the architecture applies the same way in any smart card enabled device. The figure is self-explanatory considering the technical details given in the preceding sections.

From the application developer’s point of view, all the complexity of the internal storage, access control evaluation and security management is confined in the smart card and smart card proxy codes, so that the application developer can concentrate on the application logic.

6 Security and performance issues

6.1 MobiDiQ security

The security issue is twofold. From the content provider and content distributor point of view, security means enforcing the tamper-resistance of the license management. This regards the license itself and the data linked to the license, namely the resource and the contextual information (user’s profile and historical data) over which conditions can be defined. From the consumer point of view, security means enforcing the privacy of her data (profile, historical data). The smart card serves both as a tamper resistant and private store for contextual information and as a secure operating environment (SOE) to evaluate and enforce complex business rule based on these contextual data. The information stored outside the smart card (i.e., licenses, profile information and historical data stored in the Shared License Repository) are kept encrypted and signed to enforce their privacy and integrity. It is worth-noting that all communications are encrypted and that a PKI infrastructure is assumed.

The security level provided by MobiDiQ is bounded by the security level provided by the smart card itself. While smart cards cannot be considered as unbreakable devices, they satisfy the highest certification level (EAL 7) of the Common Criteria, the international standard (ISO/IEC 15408) for computer security (Common Criteria, 2005). One may also question the generality of the MobiDiQ approach considering that any rendering device is assumed to be equipped with a smart card. Smart cards and other forms of secure chips are today plugged or embedded into a growing variety of devices (e.g., PC, PDA, cellular phone, set-top-box) to serve different applications (certification, authentication, electronic voting, e-payment, healthcare, anti-piracy certification techniques, etc.). Thus, Secure Operating Environments (SOE) become a reality on client devices (TCPA, 2006).
6.2 Performance issues and experiments

When dealing with large digital contents (e.g., video, music), the traditional performance / security trade-off must be addressed. For a maximal security, the whole digital content should traverse the smart card and be decrypted on the fly. This requires a high bandwidth smart card equipped with a powerful CPU. While some advanced smart card platforms support a full speed USB throughput, most existing smart cards actually exhibit a much lower communication throughput.

A prototype of MobiDiQ has been developed on such a low throughput SIM card and has been the recipient of the Gold Award of the SIMagine’2005 international software contest (Bouganim et al., 2005). This prototype dealt with a selective dissemination of multimedia streams through unsecured channels. We considered videos encoded using the MPEG7 standard, which allowed storing short descriptions of the scenes in the XML metadata. Video objects being quite large and the response time being an important requirement, the smart card used in this experiment was unable to solve the equation due to its limited communication throughput. To tackle this issue, we traded security for performance as follows. We separated the metadata from the video stream and encrypted the video stream thanks to secret keys stored in that metadata. In this setting, the smart card performs the access control on the XML metadata and delivers the decryption keys to the media player, according to the user’s privileges, in the spirit of existing Conditional Access methods (see Figure 5).

![Figure 5: MobiDiQ on low bandwidth smart cards](image)

7 Conclusion

Digital piracy is threatening the global multimedia content industry while existing DRM models badly adapt to several new attractive usage scenarios and exasperate consumers due to too coercive methods. MobiDiQ aims at reconciling the content providers’ and consumers’ point of views by giving the ability to develop fair business models (user friendliness, fair superdistribution, ethic enforcement, privacy preservation). In this
respect, MobiDiQ can be considered as a tamper-resistant enabler of ethical Service Level Agreements.

From a technical point of view, MobiDiQ is an XML-based tamper-resistant right management engine. The support of XML metadata makes MobiDiQ agnostic about the type of multimedia content to be protected and the support of XPath access control rules makes MobiDiQ agnostic about the DRM model to be used at the application level. Roughly speaking, the MobiDiQ engine can be seen as a DRM virtual machine with XPath access control rules as bytecode.

A prototype of MobiDiQ has been developed on a SIM card and has been the recipient of the Gold Award of the SIMagine’2005 international software contest. The demonstration of this prototype shown how licenses are expressed, exchanged and evaluated in a MobiDiQ enabled cell phone when playing a video sequence under strict parental control (violent scenes are withdrawn from the video stream).
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